

## **Open Task Report**



Working Group	Integrated Safet	ty Management			
Task Grou	р				
Task Sheet Nu	ımber	Task Start Date	Estimated Complet	tion Date	
ISMS-16-0	)1	4/27/2016	5/1/2017		
Coordinate with	Other EFCOG Worki	ng Group All TGs un	nder the Safety Working Group	)	
Task Title: [	Document Configurati	on Control			
· !	sk Description: Currently EFCOG primarily produces documents in the form of guides, white papers, reports, etc. that are or have been uploaded to the EFCOG web page. The lack of any prescribed document numbering process or document management strategy such as periodic reviews for relevancy creates a challenge to potential end users of these documents.				
l	•			lized, then each task group lead needs t tion to where the document should be	
1	Deliverable: EFCOG po 1.Document numberin 2.Index approach 3.Periodic review expe 4.DOE interface/utiliza	ng scheme ectations	scribes the management appro	pach for EFCOG products to include:	
Status Date:					
History/Comme	nts:				
Description of Savir	ngs:				
DOE Sponsor	·(s):				
Best Practices Ge	enerated $\Box$				

**Integrated Safety Management** 

Task Group Contractor Assurance System

**Task Sheet Number** 

**Task Start Date** 

**Estimated Completion Date** 

CAS-14-01

12/4/2013

12/1/2015

**Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group** 

Task Title: Effective Issues Management Core Elements

Task Description: A white paper describing an effective issue management program, what is an effective corrective action, and how to

measure effectiveness of an issue management program.

Deliverable(s): White Paper

**Status Date:** On hold

7/22/2016

History/Comments: 10/31/2014 -

• Outline core elements of an effective issues management progra - Due Date 2/20/14

• Describe the elements of an effective corrective action and prove examples of corrective actions- Due Date

6/30/14

• Describe techniques for measuring effectiveness of an issue management progrm - Due Date 8/29/14

5/30/2015 - Developed draft high-level description of the elements of an effective issues management program

at the Spring 2015 meeting. Draft will be distributed for further input.

**Description of Savings:** 

DOE Sponsor(s): Colette Broussard

**Working Group Integrated Safety Management** Contractor Assurance System Task Group **Task Sheet Number Task Start Date Estimated Completion Date** CAS-15-02 3/1/2016 1/1/2017 **Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group** Task Title: CAS Maturity Evaluation Tool Task Description: As part of an overall approach in identifying the maturity of Contractor implemented DOE O 226.1 CAS programs at various sites a tool would be beneficial in assisting a contractor in evaluating the overall maturity of their CAS with appropriate consideration/flexibility dependent on their mission. **Task Elements** • Take survey results and feed into a "Maturity Evaluation Tool" • Potentially use AECOM Tool or similar concept as a framework • ■ otentially a Department product: EM/NNSA/Science/NE approaches Deliverable(s): Evaluation Tool that each contractor can use to discern the Maturity (graded approach) for their project This task will begin in fall 2016 at EFCOG Fall meeting - Schedule to be completed in 2017. **Status Date:** 7/22/2016 History/Comments: Note: this task is a successor activity of Task CAS-2015-01

Description of Savings: Overall consistency across the complex allowing for site specific determination of level of rigor.

DOE Sponsor(s): Pat Worthington and Collette Broussard

Best Practices Generated

Page 3 of 8

**Working Group Integrated Safety Management** Task Group Contractor Assurance System **Task Sheet Number Task Start Date Estimated Completion Date** CAS-15-01 10/1/2015 3/30/2016 **Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group** Task Title: CAS Element Survey Task Description: As part of an overall approach in identifying the maturity of CAS programs at various sites an effort is needed to identify the core elements of a DOE O 226.1CAS. **Task Elements** •Develop a Survey (Deliverable) oWill use a platform such as Survey Monkey oNeed to Identify the most likely elements for yes/no response oNeed to identify Departmental alignment (EM/Science/NNSA/NE) oAllow for write in elements •Deploy to Contractor POC (NNSA/EM/Science/NE CAS owners) (action) oNeed to develop a POC listing to deploy survey to oProvide two week turn around to facilitate a timely evaluation • Evaluate Results (Deliverable) olnclude a matrix reflecting departmental alignment, site, elements included olinclude an evaluation of results from a data analysis perspective – not to be an evaluation of adequacy of any individual response. Deliverable(s): Develop a Survey and Evaluate Results

Status Date: Completed the survey 7/16/2016. In process of evaluation the results. Will present results at fall EFCOG Meeting

7/22/2016 2016.

History/Comments: Note: this task is a precursor activity that will be used to support completion of Task CAS-2015-02

Description of Savings: Overall consistency across the complex allowing for site specific determination of level of rigor.

DOE Sponsor(s): Pat Worthington and Collette Broussard

**Integrated Safety Management** 

Task Group

**Human Performance Improvement** 

**Task Sheet Number** 

**Task Start Date** 

**Estimated Completion Date** 

**HPI 15-01** 

10/26/2015

9/30/2016

**Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group** 

Task Title: HPI Best Practices that Ensure Quality V&V of Procedures and Guidance

Task Description: Submit best practices from DOE organizations related to ensuring good human factors have been considered in the

validation and verification of procedures prior to approving them for use. Procedure Use and Adherence is a fundamental HPI tool; however, using an improperly conceived or written set of instructions can lead to adverse events, delays, or rework, as depicted in the Anatomy of an Event diagram. If sufficient BPs are submitted, an EFCOG

Best Practices document/report will be produced.

Deliverable(s): Best Practices Report

Status Date: Email drafted and will to be sent to group requesting input electronically or brought to meeting on April 28, 2016.

4/18/2016

**History/Comments:** 

Description of Savings: Improved work flow, improved worker engagement in the guidance process, fewer delays and potentially fewer

events.

DOE Sponsor(s): Riz Shah

**Integrated Safety Management** 

Task Group

**Human Performance Improvement** 

**Task Sheet Number** 

**Task Start Date** 

**Estimated Completion Date** 

**HPI-13-01** 

10/1/2013

12/1/2016

Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group Safety Culture, QA, WM and FI

Task Title: Use of HPI Fundamentals/Tools to Improve Organizational Effectiveness

Task Description: HPI Task Group will focus on HRO/Organizational Culture Effectiveness to:

- Provide a White Paper and an EFCOG Leadership Engagement Document which includes all levels of management to improve use of HPI fundamentals to focus on behaviors and the Work Environment to improve organizational effectiveness - Phase I
- · Leaders need to focus on appropriate use of HPI fundamentals including use of HPI tools to make organizational improvements
- · Provide a White Paper and an EFCOG Coaching Document which includes all levels of management to improve coaching and correction in the field - Phase II
- Provide instruction to Leaders to improve Coaching and Correcting Skills

**Leadership Training/Seminar** 

- . Hands on application of coaching based upon new INPO Coaching Document and best industry practices
- Developing or Providing Leadership Training and Practices

**Setting the Coaching Exampe** 

Showing what Good Coaching looks lik

**Reinforcing Good and Correcting incorrect behaviors** 

**Providing Coaching metrics** 

- INPO model shows high performing sites perform effective coaching
- Decide on correct metrics to improve performance/behaviors from examining industry-leading processes.
- Leadership: a draft of this white paper has been developed and circulated for review.
- Coaching and Correcting: this white paper is still in the development phases. An outline, some discussion, and various resources have been gathered. Next phase is to continue documentation and get input from interested **EFCOG** members.

Deliverable(s): White Papers followed by Guidance Documents

**Status Date:** 4/18/2016

- Eeadership: White paper completed. EFCOG Leadership Engagement Guide in development. Breadth of guide may be reviewed
- Eoaching and Correcting: this white paper is near completion. Draft has been reviewed and will be presented at the spring 2016 meeting for submittal following incorporation of any final comments. Best practices guide to follow.

13-01.B Coaching and Correcting: A draft enhanced outline of this white paper was reviewed by the HPI group at the May 2015 EFCOG meeting. Notes and comments were compiled and will be incorporated into the next draft which will be circulated to the group and discussed by interested parties via conference call. The planned submittal date of the white paper is by the end of CY 2015 with a guidance document completed by end of CY 2016. Chuck Ramsey is the lead on this portion of the task, supported with input from the group.

History/Comments: 5/2015 - 13-01.A Leadership Engagement: The white paper Engage Leadership to improve Human Performance Fundamentals has been completed and uploaded to the HPI Group web page. Progress on the EFCOG Leadership Engagement Guidance Document is progressing towards an on-time submittal in late CY 2015. Kimbel Leffew is the lead on this portion of the task, supported with input from the group.

Description of Savings: These tasks will promote key HPI fundamental practices, including use of tools and leader-in-the-field presence, to help locations improve individual behaviors. Cost savings will result from reduced chances for events and accidents, thereby saving costs associated with personnel pain of injury and preventing down time, plus preventing costs associated with event investigations. Promoting effective coaching will also lead to better engagement and recognition of weak signals, with use of proper metrics and trending, leading to cost savings through improved organizational and process efficiencies.

Rizwan Shah DOE Sponsor(s):

Best Practices Generated

Working Group Integrated Safety Management

Task Group Safety Culture/HRO

Task Sheet Number Task Start Date Estimated Completion Date

SC/HRO-15-02 10/1/2015 12/30/2016

Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group Contractor Assurance

Task Title: Establish a comprehensive information exchange site

Task Description: Establish a communications strategy to integrate resources into a comprehensive information exchange site that

includes

**Best Industry Practices** 

Contractor specific safety culture evaluation resources

Training Survey

Experienced safety culture evaluators from the contractor community

Deliverable(s): Best Practice

Status Date: Sub-team established pending feedback from the ISM chair on the status of the EFCOG website restructuring

10/1/2015

**History/Comments:** 

Description of Savings:

DOE Sponsor(s): Riz Shaw

**Integrated Safety Management** 

Task Group

Work Management

**Task Sheet Number** 

**Task Start Date** 

**Estimated Completion Date** 

WM-14-04

1/1/2015

12/31/2015

**Coordinate with Other EFCOG Working Group** 

Task Title: Assist Visits to help with the implementation of the DOE Handbook

Task Description: Perform a minimum of two Assist visits, to perform a peer to peer evaluation on how well hosting site are

implementing WP&C into all activity level work planning.

Deliverable(s): LANL Visit Completed. SNL visit planned for the fall

**Status Date:** 7/19/2016

This is a continuous effort.

History/Comments: Assist visits provide opportunities for sites to share information, experiences, knowledge and lessons learned. Assist visits are important to help with the implementation of the Activity Level WP&C Assist of the EFCOG WP&C Guide document Note Worthy practices identified are considered as Best Practices.

> 11/11/2014 - This is a continually effort, with a high level of DOE's support Reference Task # WM-13-04 5/28/2015 -An Assist Visit was conducted earlier in this year at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL). During the WM Task Group Spring Conference an out brief was provided by a representative who participated on the Assist Team and by an LBL representative. The Assist Visit provided several good practices that have been posted on the web site. A second Assist Visit has been planned for Los Alamos National Laboratory later this year. However, due to events and circumstances surrounding the recent events at LANL another site may be substituted. This has yet to be determined and will be discussed at upcoming conference calls. Sandia National Laboratory has volunteered to receive an Assist Visit during the spring of 2016.

**Description of Savings:** 

DOE Sponsor(s): Roger Claycomb