Waste Treatment Plant Project



WTP Nuclear Safety Status

Michael Greutman WTP Nuclear Safety Manager

February 2021







Protecting the environment and the public *Clean up of legacy waste dates back decades*



Product of plutonium production for WWII Manhattan Project and Cold War



56 million gallons radioactive waste

- 149 single-shell tanks built 1943-1964
- 28 double-shell tanks built 1968-1986
- About 60 tanks presumed to have leaked up to 1 million gallons

WTP will have four nuclear facilities





Low-Activity Waste Vitrification Facility *Turn low-activity waste into glass in two 300-ton melters*

- Waste and Glass Mixture Heated to 2100 degrees F
- Poured into 4-ft diameter, 7-ft high containers weighing 7 tons
- Produce over 1000 cannisters a year





- 330 feet x 240 feet x
 90 feet tall
- 28,500 cubic yards concrete
- 6,200 tons structural steel

- 103,000 feet piping
- 840,000 feet electrical cable
- 945,000 pounds heating and ventilation ductwork

Analytical Laboratory Ensure glass meets regulatory requirements



Expected to analyze approximately 3,000 WTP process samples each year



- 320 feet x 180 feet x 45 feet tall
- 12,000 cubic yards concrete
- 1,800 tons structural steel
- 35,000 feet piping
- 172,000 feet electrical cable
- 314,500 pounds heating and ventilation ductwork



 Less than HC-3 Nuclear Facility to support DFLAW, but HC-3 PDSA (HLW/PT Operations).



Balance of Facilities Vast infrastructure to support operations





- Steam plant
- Chiller compressor facility
- Electrical substation & switchgear
- Water treatment facility
- Glass-forming material storage
- Emergency power facility
- Cooling tower
- Underground waste transfer systems
- Effluent Management Facility

Will provide the infrastructure needed to produce low-activity glass

LAW Hazards



- Rad Consequences to all receptors (Public, CLW, FW) are "Low"
- NO_x (Co-mingled with Rad)
- Process Waste (NaOH-like, Co-mingled with Rad)
- NaOH (Cold Chemical)
- Ammonia (Cold Chemical, PSM quantities in BOF)
- Mercury (Separated from process waste, no rad)
- CO₂ (Cold Chemical, hazard is been eliminated from process)
- All consequences of chemical hazards are limited to FW and CLW with the exception of mercury storage which has a public consequence of concern.

STD-1228 and CSMP Implementation



No controls were eliminated, but reclassified as "Chemical Safety"

LAW Safety Basis Status



DSA/TSR Rev. 4 Currently in DOE Review

- Updates necessary to support Commissioning
- Incorporation of Revised Waste Acceptance Criteria Approach
- Close out of Planned Design and Operational Safety Improvements
- Incorporation of Revised Criticality Safety Evaluation
- Incorporation of Key-DiD Approach
- Chemical Safety Management Program
 - Chemical Safety Management Program Description approved by DOE on 01/23/2020
 - Included all program requirements and list of Chemical Safety designated controls and safety functions
 - Uses existing LAW PrHA as base hazard analysis
 - Additional Hazard Analyses developed for chemical hazards outside the scope of the DSA (i.e., BOF Anhydrous Ammonia)
 - Will be revised for consistency with Rev. 4 of the DSA.

Key LAW Milestones

- Turnover of All Systems to Plant Management Currently Being Completed
- Start Full Cold Commissioning (Loss of Power Test) October 2021
- Melter 1 Heat-Up December 2021
- DOE ORR Complete December 2022
- Hot Commissioning Start January 2023

HLW Facility Status

- Currently at 60% Design for Most Major Systems, mechanical handling systems at pre-90%
- Pre-Treat Feed Design Configuration Decision on Direct Feed High-Level Waste forecast in 2021, however a decision on PTF is not expected near term
- PDSA and PrHA being updated as systems progress through 60% Design
- Procurement Resumption Forecast in 2023
- Construction Resumption Forecast in 2024