## Human Performance Observation Card

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Activity: ACE Human Performance Workshop

Tools	Observed Yes/No/N/A	Comments
Job Briefing		
Did the individual request a pre-job brief?		
Coaching		
Did the individual request coaching during the assignment?		
Self Checking		
Did the individual utilize self checking while performing the assignment?		
Effective Communication		
Did the individual demonstrate 3-way communications?		
Procedure		
Did the individual refer to Procedure EN-LI-119?		
Did the individual refer to Procedure EN-LI-102?		
Knowledge		
Did the individual realize that INPO had a Human Performance Tool for Engineers?		
Did the individual know where to find the INPO Human Performance Tool for Engineers?		
Did the individual realize that the problem statement was incorrect (or could have been better)?		
Did the individual know how to find the valve in Passport?		
Did the individual know how to find the work history for the valve in Passport?		
Did the individual know how to find the drawing number in Passport?		

Knowledge (cont'd)	Observed Yes/No/N/A	Comments
Did the individual review DRN		
postings against the calculation EC-		
M96-036 Rev. 6?		
Did the individual review DRN		
postings against drawing 1564-1627?		
Did the individual determine if any		
similar failures occurred at Waterford		
3 using PCRS?		
Did the individual verify the due dates		
for the corrective actions met due		
date guidelines in EN-LI-102?		
Did the individual realize that an		
explanation should be included in the		
Extent of Condition as to why SI-		
401B is listed?		
Did the individual realize that a CR is		
required to be written to address the		
packing leaks in valve SI-401B?		
Did the individual realize that		
corrective action 4 was not part of the		
apparent cause and did not need to		
be performed? Did the individual realize that the		
wrong department was assigned corrective action 2 (CA 2)?		
Did the individual realize that the		
wrong department was assigned		
corrective action 3 (CA 3)?		
Place keeping		
Did the individual keep their place		
during the interruptions?		
Questioning Attitude		
Did the individual request to see a		
copy of the CR?		
Did the individual request to see the		
engineering product quality review		
checklist?		
Did the individual check IDEAS to		
verify the correct drawing number of		
the valve?		
Did the individual ask for the		
reference for the design limit of 2500		
Ib running load?		

Did the individual look at the calculation to ensure that the right running load was obtained from the calculation? Image: Calculation in the right running load was obtained from the calculation?   Did the individual question that only the work history from Refuel 9, 10, 11, and 12 were in Attachment 1? Image: Calculation in the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?   Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1? Image: Calculation in the work history?   Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report? Image: Calculation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?   Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Image: Calculation was different from the valve in the condition Report?   Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torque due of 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Image: Calculation was different from the valve of the problem?   Did the individual question why the bolts torque did not remain at 97 ft-lbs Image: Calculation was different from the valve of the problem?	Questioning Attitude (cont'd)	Observed Yes/No/N/A	Comments
running load was obtained from the calculation? Did the individual question that only the work history from Refuel 9, 10, 11, and 12 were in Attachment 1? Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"? Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1? Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report? Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Did the individual question why the	Did the individual look at the		
calculation?Did the individual question that only the work history from Refuel 9, 10, 11, and 12 were in Attachment 1?Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the	J. J		
Did the individual question that only the work history from Refuel 9, 10, 11, and 12 were in Attachment 1?Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the	•		
the work history from Refuel 9, 10, 11, and 12 were in Attachment 1?Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
11, and 12 were in Attachment 1?Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
Did the individual question the source of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
of the torque values listed in the "Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the	· · · ·		
"Explanation of the Problem"?Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the	•		
Did the individual question the source of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the	•		
of the information in the work history? in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
in Attachment 1?Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
Did the individual realize the valve in the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
the Apparent Cause Evaluation was different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
different from the valve in the Condition Report?Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?Did the individual question why the			
Condition Report?   Did the individual question why the   gland bolt nuts were allowed to be   torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9?   Did the individual question why the			
Did the individual question why the gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Did the individual question why the			
gland bolt nuts were allowed to be torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Did the individual question why the			
torqued to 97 ftlbs during Refuel 9? Did the individual question why the			
Did the individual question why the	5		
Doits torque did not remain at 97 it-lbs			
	•		
after Refuel 9?			
Did the individual request to see the	•		
information from Argo to verify the	•		
compressive strength of the bushing, braided end ring, and flexible graphite			
end ring?	<b>0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>1</b>		
Did the individual review calculation	U		
EC-M92-036 to ensure there was			
adequate margin to accommodate			
the increase in running load?			
Did the individual question if any	Did the individual question if any		
electrical calculations were required			
to be updated.	•		
Did the individual demonstrate			
questioning attitude during the			
assignment?			