

ENTERGY ENGINEERING HUMAN PERFORMANCE APPARENT CAUSE DLA July 2007



OBJECTIVES

 Evaluate Engineering Personnel Skills in Preparing and Reviewing Apparent Cause Evaluation (ACE) Reviews.

 Evaluate Engineering Personnel use of Human Performance Tools and Trap Identification during an ACE Review.



WHY APPARENT CAUSE EVALUATION DLA?

Engineering Product Quality Review Results

- Inconsistent ACE Product Quality

 Problem Statement and Extent of Condition
- Vague/Interpretative Guidance

 Inconsistent Format
- Change Management
 - New Fleet ACE Procedure

DLA DEVELOPMENT

- Pre DLA Training
- Exercise Scenario Selection
- Task Development
- Proctor Script Development
- DLA HU Observation Card Design
- Proctor Assignment Schedule
- DLA HU Tool Usage Results
- Post DLA Effectiveness Assessment



PRE DLA Training

Change Management - New ACE Procedure

Class Room Training Objectives

- ACE Section Requirements
- Effective Problem Statements (WHAT)
- Explanation of Problem (HOW)
- Apparent Cause Analysis Techniques (WHY)
- Extent of Condition Development (WHAT ELSE)
- Corrective Actions (RESOLUTION)

DLA EXERISE SCENARIO SELECTION

Activity Selection Considerations:

- Completion Time Approximately 1 Hour
- Typical Engineering Personnel Task
- Reference Material Available
- HU Traps embedded Inconspicuously
- Specific Technical expertise not required

SCENARIO - ACE REVEW

TASK DEVELOPMENT

- Modify Actual Condition Report Description
 for Exercise Scope
 - Single Train (B) Repetitive Valve Packing leaks vs Both Trains (A)&(B)
- Modify Apparent Cause for Review to Match CR Scope/Work History w/HU Taps
 - -ACE written for A train not B train
 - -No references for Work History

TASK DEVELOPMENT

Prepare Pre Job Brief

 Brief Check List (Level Determination)
 INPO HU Tools for Engineers
 List of Relevant ACE Term Definitions
 Current Relevant Valve Data

TASK DEVELOPMENT

- Assemble Reference Information – ACE Relevant Procedures
 - -Valve Partial Work History w/references
 - Valve Complete Work History w & w/o references
 - -Referenced Drawings,
 - -Referenced Vendor Information

DLA HU TRAPS

- Phonetic Alphabet Not Used to Present ACE To Student
- Product Quality Check or CR are not Provided To Reviewer With ACE
- ACE Specified Valve Train A, CR Train B
- No Pre-Job Brief Offered by Proctor
- Initial Work History w/ACE Contained no Reference Document Information

DLA HU TRAPS

- 2nd Work History Incomplete w/References
- 3rd Work History Complete w/o References
- Referenced Vendor Information not Provided
- Referenced Calculation not Provided
- ACE Problem Statement Not Concise
- Corrective Actions Assigned to Wrong Disciplines, Some Not Related to Cause, Long Term Actions Not Approved

- Provide Brief Description of the Exercise Scenario
- List Required Skills Student Are Required to Demonstrate in Exercise
- Describe Simulated Work Area and Required Equipment
- Instructions Prior to Start of Task Introduction
- Explanation of Exercise Student Task Overview

Task Initiation Tab Contents

- Exercise Start Instructions
- Initial Task Document (ACE)
- Task Assignment Description/Deliverable
- Proctor ACE Prep Role
- Associated HU Trap Descriptions

Pre-Job Brief Tab (If Requested)

- Job Briefing Expectations/Level Selection
- Pre-Job Brief Checklist
- Task Taps and Tools INPO HU Tools
- Definitions of Terms
- Current Technical Data

Procedure Tab –(If Requested)

- Expected Behavior Description

 Demonstrate How to Find Procedures
 Verify Procedure Requirements
- Copies of Procedures

Additional DLA Tabs w/ Trap Descriptions

- Valve History Tab
- Drawing Tab
- Vendor Information Tab
- Calculation Tab

HU Tap Descriptions

- Problem Statement Trap Description

 Not Concise Non Condition Information
- Extent of Condition Trap Description
 - No Extent of Condition Selection Justification
 - Extent of Condition CR Question
- Corrective Action Trap Description

 Assignment Errors & Long Term CA Approval

DLA HU Observation Card Design

Observation Card HU Tool Categories

- Job Briefing
- Coaching
- Self Checking
- Effective Communication
- Procedure Use
- Knowledge
- Place Keeping
- Questioning Attitude

DLA HU Observation Card Data

- 48% Did Not Request a Pre-Job Brief
- 71% Did Review ACE Procedure
- 56% Did Not Review CA procedure
- 62% Acceptable Knowledge Tool Use
- 53% Acceptable Questioning Attitude

DLA HU TOOL USE RESULTS DLA HU Observation Card Data Knowledge HU Tool Use NI Categories

- Identify Extent of Condition CR
- Challenge Qualifications
- Verify CA Due Date Requirements
- Problem Statement Description Deficiencies
- Check for Calculation Change Notices
- Extent of Condition/CR Reviews

DLA HU Observation Card Data

Questioning Attitude Tool Use NI Categories

- Calculation Update
- Extent of Work History Review
- Source/References of Work History
- Repetitive Lower Packing Bolt Torque Use
- Verification of Vendor Information
- Product Quality Check List Review
- Recognition of CR/ACE Valve ID Discrepancy

DLA EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT

- ACE PI Metric = Avg. EPQR ACE Grade
- PI Goal = Avg. ACE EPQR Grade < 2.0
- Evaluation period 8 Month After Start of Training
- ACE EPQR PI reached < 2.0 (GREEN) In 3 months
- ACE EPQR Grades Remain within Goal for 21Months After Training Completed

Proctor DLA Exercise Schedule

- Assign 1 Proctor per 4 5 Students
 –WF 3 used 9 proctors
- Performs DLA w/ 1 2 students per week
 completed all DLA training in 3 weeks
- Schedule had minimal work load impact for proctors and students

Questions?