

04:59:35

William Wingfield(Host)

Carol Olijar

Viewing William Wingfield's screen

Chat

from Josh Pearson to Everyone

08:30

270-709-5883 - Josh Pearson

from Gladys Udentia to Everyone

08:30

2025868751 is Gladys Udentia

from Davy Mayo to Everyone

08:31

Davy Mayo - (509) 371-7718

from Teri Vincent to Everyone

08:31

cschultz@anl.gov

from Marlene Underwood to Everyone

08:32

7404****77 Marlene Underwood

from Brent Shealy to Everyone

08:34

803-557-4290 Brent Shealy-SRNS

from Christian Palay to Everyone

08:34

second breakfast

from Marlene Underwood to Everyone

09:05

Good morning. Are there plans for changes specific for those using it for non-nuclear contracts?

from John Verderber to Everyone

09:06

If someone wanted to get involved with an NQA-1 Subcommittee, where do they start, who do they contact.?

from Cody Long to Everyone

09:08

Could you talk some more about what additional guidance there will be for software dedication?

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

09:11

I would like guidance as well, marapod@sandia.gov

from Cody Long to Everyone

09:11

Will do.

from Cody Long to Everyone

09:11

cody.long@pxy12.doe.gov

from Jim Worthy to Everyone

09:13

NQA-1 was originally created to support Nuclear facilities. Over time, it has been adopted by suppliers. The fit to suppliers is awkward. Is there a prospect for making supplier expectations clearer?

from William Wingfield to Everyone

09:15

Taunia and Jim, thanks so much

from sidney.ails to Everyone

09:38

Are DOECAP audit reports available to DOE Prime Contractors?

from Christian Palay to Everyone

09:38

Who is DOECAP representing conducting these audits of these suppliers? who assumes liability? Is DOECAP covered under the AEA Indemnification?

from sidney.ails to Everyone

09:40

Are DOECAP Auditors Certified in accordance with NQA-1 requirements?

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

09:41

Does DOECAP use the max.gov server for file sharing?

from Zoh to Everyone

09:46

Houstonmb@ornl.gov - Can I get a copy of your slides?

from William Wingfield to Everyone

09:48

I will get Steve's slides to Carol

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

09:48

Just found out about it myself.

from Joe Fulghum to Everyone

09:50

Does the audit report include a copy of the completed checklist that would also contain the objective evidence to support the audit results

from William Wingfield to Everyone

09:50

Thanks so much Steve

from William Wingfield to Everyone

09:54

Gabby sounds good

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

10:06

Thanks Gabby

from Verne Howard to Everyone

10:09

Will an information packet be created so we can pass it down to our sub-tier suppliers with all the enrollment information we can provide to them?

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

10:11

Verne, we will have to think about how we will post the information packet. It will be available from NTC but also from S/CI Coordinators at the sites.

from Carol Olijar to Everyone

10:16

Meeting on break for lunch, back on at 1pm EST.

from Anna Lewandowski to Everyone

11:02

Hi Bill- Vince is in the Contractor Assurance mtg

from Anna Lewandowski to Everyone

11:03

You got it

from John Verderber to Everyone

11:19

Do you request the NCR information when the NCR has been initiated or only a CLOSED version since NCR's could be non-validated or cancelled based on the circumstance?

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:21

John, you could submit a Nonconformance that may not yet have full validation through an agency action notice. This is probably the best way to limited release the information in a way that initiates the alert and can be updated as the information is validated. Agency Action Alerts or AANs have to be initiated by the Federal site, field office, or headquarters though and cannot be submitted by the contractor.

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:23

Although, I am not sure if I fully understand your question. Are you requesting the nonconformance data from GIDEP?

from John Verderber to Everyone

11:23

Thank you!!

from John Verderber to Everyone

11:24

No, I am referring to submitting our NCR information to GIDEP, not getting information from GIDEP.

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:24

Ok

from Scott Weaver to Everyone

11:24

What or are there any provisions, for the determination of intent with regard to the counterfeiting of a given item? In other words, are counterfeited items viewed solely as profit motivated?

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:26

It doesn't matter what the intent is as long as intent can be proven. If you are talking about criminal prosecution anyways...

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:30

Yay! Utilization reporting is going away?

from John Verderber to Everyone

11:31

It may be going away, but until that officially happens - keep submitting?

from Pete Panaguiton GIDEP to Everyone

11:37

@John Verderber yes please continue to submit.

from Elena Galinski to Everyone

11:45

What is the process for providing input on the DOE order update?

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

11:45

How do I get on the team for the DOE O 414.1D Revision?

from Elena Galinski to Everyone

11:46

Also , is there some expectation to align records order/requirement with having a graded approach?

from Barbara Siciliano to Everyone

11:47

Chris, are you following the new federal requirement for suppliers to provide Software Bills of Materials and is there a DOE wide approach to how we would analyze these SBOMs?

from Kevin Roberts to Everyone

11:47

Thanks for that update about the EA-34 assessment

from Gabrielle Holcomb to Everyone

11:51

Use of a commonly accepted industry standard any industry standard is okay. The purpose is to avoid building QA systems and try to keep some standardization.

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

11:52

Thank you :)

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

11:52

Check out the spreadsheet for Alternative Standards and Orders on the EFCOG SQA folder.

from Chris Beaman to Everyone

11:56

Chris Beaman: christopher.beaman@hq.doe.gov (360-271-6823)

from Christian Palay to Everyone

11:58

DOE Recrods policy requirements should be flowed via DOE O 243...however, that is not say that there might be some offical interim policy stuff to plug a gap until 243 can be updated....

from Christian Palay to Everyone

11:59

All objective evidence of work on federal systems is pretty much a federal record.

from Christian Palay to Everyone

12:03

if you could forward to Chris the specfic policy that the "records management folks" are referring to in their direction that everything is a record that would help him cooridinate his update.

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

12:10

Grading of records is achieved through use of different retention periods. Some are kept until consolidated into reports, and some are kept for lifetime or project or site plus 50 years. The key is to identify the many types of records and to manage them according to the records management plan.

from Verne Howard to Everyone

12:20

Very Interested!!

from Deborah Norman to Everyone

12:20

Are there any significant changes to the NAP 401.1, Attachment 3 requirements being incorporated into R004?

from Verne Howard to Everyone

12:21

Will R013 take on a graded approach for flowdown?

from Verne Howard to Everyone

12:22

Thank You.

from Joe Fulghum to Everyone

12:22

Thanks Andrea

from Deborah Norman to Everyone

12:23

Great, thank you

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

12:24

Very interesting. Thanks Andi and Max!

from Vicki Pope to Everyone

12:24

Yes. Thank you.

from Vicki Pope to Everyone

12:25

Bill, when will the slides be made available?

from Andrea Rainer to Everyone

12:25

andrea.rainer@nnsa.doe.gov

from Verne Howard to Everyone

12:27

What is the email for Max?

from Max L Lopez to Everyone

12:28

max.lopez@nnsa.doe.gov

from Verne Howard to Everyone

12:28

Thak You.

*****after 12:28

rom Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

12:45

Carolyn does an amazing job in note taking

from Vicki Pope to Everyone

12:45

You all are so sweet! For some reason, my microphone is not working.

from Carol Olijar to Everyone

12:46

Thank you Marlene, ctrl-A, I will give it a try.

from Vicki Pope to Everyone

12:46

Yes, Carol does a fabulous job note taking. Perhaps you can send out the script as a separate document from tne notes for anyone interested. I've also had to multi-task through some of the presentations and have, therefore, missed some important stuff.

from John Verderber to Everyone

12:46

When my mic does not work, sometimes I need to go into my audio settings and make a few changes.

from Carol Olijar to Everyone

12:50

I tried CTRL-A on my computer to copy the captions script, didn't work forme.

from Carol Olijar to Everyone

12:52

That is great idea Vicki. Mary Lou please send me the script at end of meeting today, and I will send it out as own file with the notes/attendance.

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

12:57
will do :)

from William Wingfield to Everyone

13:25
If your phone # is listed in the Participants list please let Carol Olijar know who you are.

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:25
Great presentation may we have the slides please? I have also tried to get EPRI documents but you have to have a membership which is provided by companies that support the EPRI is my understanding.

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

13:25
What about constructing language for the Statement of Work that could be shared across the complex address embedded digital devices? Maybe having a graded approach and identify the highest risk? And include inspection that includes opening of the box" and sample testing requirement prior to accepting product? And have the ability to return product that doesn't meet the sample testing, Just an idea

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:27
Good Idea and it would be great to share CGD EDD evals

from Spencer Daw to Everyone

13:30
Great job John!

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:32
I think that's what Marylou had suggested

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

13:32
Yes I think it would be helpful to have a guide.

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:33

A group or Subtask to look at all Software or EDD process. Something that would give us procurement checklists

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:34
from a CGD insight

from Spencer Daw to Everyone

13:35
I like that idea.

from Don McKinstry to Everyone

13:36
Doesn't the Certificate of Comformance(C of C) requirements include this testing process and verification prior to sending/selling?

from Elizabeth Justice to Everyone

13:36
Definitely would like a formal guide!

from Cristy Renner to Everyone

13:37
WOW

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

13:40
You could include that in the statement of work also, request test data-just a comment.

from sidney.ailles to Everyone

13:50
The presentation focuses on Testing. What method do you propose to verify the configuration of EDD and system software included in the operating system?

from Lindsay Whisnant@srs.gov to Everyone

13:51
I have to sign off for another meeting. Until tomorrow!

from Tom Barsz to Everyone

13:52

Wasn't there a DOE CDG Guide for software?.

from Chris Beaman to Everyone

13:53

There is a DOE CDG Handbook but it is specifically silent on software

from Tom Barsz to Everyone

13:53

ex: DOE-HDBK-1230-2019?

from Aaron Clare to Everyone

13:54

What is the distinction between when CGD is required vs qualification? Is CGD required for all Safety Software as defined by DOE O 414.1D or only if there is a potential to impact Nuclear Safety? Those don't always overlap.

from john hendricks to Everyone

13:54

john hendricks

from john hendricks to Everyone

13:55

john hendricks 910-690-6771

from sidney.ails to Everyone

13:56

I will call you later regarding inspection of configuration items supporting the software.

from Aaron Clare to Everyone

13:57

I was asking specific to acquired software from a non NQA-1 source. Sorry for not being clear.

from Brian Wingfield to Everyone

14:01

Changed in NQA-1 2009

from sidney.ails to Everyone

14:01

10-CFR 21 requires dedication of commercial grade items that are not developed under an NQA-1 program

from Marylou Apodaca to Everyone

14:06

Ditto it was great

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

14:06

Great mix of topics, thanks!

from Donna Riggs to Everyone

14:12

Gotta go to another call, see you tomorrow.

Send to:

Everyone