

# EFCOG Supply Chain Quality Community of Practice (CoP) Conference Call Minutes



**September 17, 2025**

Attendance for Today: **37**

\*red font = new information or action items/green font = reminders\*

Topic/Slide(s)		Meeting Updates/Notes
Upcoming Conferences and Workshops - Slide 4 -		**Please reference slides for information**
MSL and Sharing Documents – Slide 5 – Kristin Bell		
<p>Questions/Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Not much change in the number of sites contributing to MSL, but many are accessing MSL for information. Since the MOCA was released, there was a sharp uptick on new suppliers and entries in MSL across the complex.</li> <li>-With the implementation of C005, it is even more imperative to enter cost avoidances when using another sites report. Please remember to complete those.</li> <li>-ESRAs are now able to be seen by EM sites as well as NSE. Nothing has changed with the cyber assessments as of yet.</li> <li>-When making your entries of future assessments, please be sure to set the status to ‘Scheduled’. There are many entries that are just retaining the default status of ‘Active’. These will not show up when looking at the assessment schedule through the site viewer.</li> <li>-All site request – Please help with cleanup of blank start dates. There are still a couple hundred records with this issue (they were brought over from the pervious system as is). Some are easy to fix if there are attachments, but not all have that.</li> <li>-Custom form design for PSL data to go into MSL is complete. Timing will have to be evaluated by the support team. Conservative goal is to have this complete and data to enter by 2026. More to come on that progress.</li> </ul>		
DOE 414.1D to E Crosswalk Discussion – Slide 12 – Amber Romero		
<p><b>START SECTION:</b> 1. Attachment 1, page 34</p> <p><b>END SECTION:</b> Completed document</p> <p>Questions/Discussion:</p>		

- CRD 1.2.a.(3)(b) “...NQA-1a-2009...is the preferred standard...with appropriate gaps (e.g. software and work control) addressed.” Are there more gaps than just software and work control?
  - (Ty Yuncuoglu) NQA-1 2008 SUBPART 4.5 Application Guide on the Use of NQA-1–2000 for Compliance With Department of Energy Quality Assurance Requirements 10 CFR 830 Subpart A and DOE O 414.1. Can be used help the identify the Gaps
- CRD 2.B.1.c Lisa Engstrom “inspect inventory and storage areas for S/CIs on a defined recurring basis”– How are other labs going to address this? Will they add to procedures to meet?
  - Ty Yuncuoglu - from LLNL will share with group. This is a big undertaking to order S/CIs, additional resources will be required. He will provide more detail on what his site is doing.
  - Amber - will also check to see Sandia’s guidelines that have been spelled out. All sites are required to have a program in place for suspected items to be reported.
- CRD 2.B.1.d. “Clearly mark S/CIs that remain in use.” (p. 48)
  - John at Hanford – Fasteners identified over 20 years ago that they were suspect, but they have left them in place because not load bearing. They are performing up to standard. As long as items like this are marked appropriately, these is acceptable.
- CRD 3.2.j removal of “conduct trend analysis” (p. 50)
  - John at Hanford – It would be good to include if you are experiencing a large number of S/CIs. Keep in program to use just in case.
- CRD 2.C. Part C Software Quality Assurance
  - John at Hanford - If you have a program that meets intent of safety software, that will meet these requirements

Is this in a shared drive? No, but this will be attached again with notes and slides.

Flag any items you want to discuss in the next meeting so we can talk in more

**\*\* NOTE: There will be no Fall EFCOG meeting this year – Next one will be in the Spring. More information to come next meeting**

Current Tasks – Start Slide 14 - **\*\*Please reference slides for information\*\***

**\*\* All reference links are now in the help section of MSL. Please download and retain a copy for your records. \*\***