EFCOG Enforcement Coordination Working Group (ECWG) Survey Monkey Results From December 2013 Meeting

Conard L. Stair B&W Y-12 Working Group Chair May 15, 2014

ATTENDANCE



- 40 members registered
- 28 members actually attended 30% reduction
- 13 of 28 (46%) attendees responded to survey
- 46.2% of those responding have attended 5 times or more
- 30.8% of those responding were first-time attendees
- 69.2% of those responding attend other EFCOG working group meetings

MEETING LOGISTICS



- 100% satisfaction with:
 - Content/Topics
 - Meeting Location Las Vegas
 - Meeting Facilities Desert Research Institute (DRI)
 - Advance Information Provided
 - Registration Process

DURATION AND VALUE



- 61.5% responded that meeting was right length
- 38.5% responded that meeting was too short
- 92.3% responded that dialogue time was sufficient
- 100% responded that topics are pertinent, useful, adds value for their company
- 92.3% responded that they use information received from meeting to solve problems
- 92 % responded that they would recommend the meeting to others in their company

FUTURE MEETING TOPICS OF INTEREST



	Coordinator Training*	69.2%
•	Programmatic and Repetitive Issues*	61.5%
•	Screening Tools	46.2%
•	Trending and Analysis Tools	38.5%
•	Consent Order Requests	30.8%

*Addressing top two at current meeting

SPECIFIC FEEDBACK



Favorable:

- Like facilities and location (DRI).
- Smaller room is more conducive to open discussion.
- Face-to-face networking with other Enforcement Coordinators provides greatest return for attending.
- Hearing updates directly from DOE HSS is useful.
- The Enforcement Coordinators and Enforcement Oversight leaders are in step.
- The information provided is so important that Senior Managers need to affirmatively support the efforts of the Group by funding participation and employing lessons learned coming out of these meetings.

<u>Unfavorable</u>:

• Coffee is not furnished or readily available.

PERFORMANCE METRICS



Comparison of previous Survey Monkey results:

Survey Topic	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2013
Satisfied or very satisfied with content	90%	91%	100%	100%
Satisfied or very satisfied with registration process	95%	100%	100%	100%
Believed time was sufficient for dialogue	78%	98%	95.7%	92.3%*
Would recommend future meetings to other member company representatives	95%	95%	100%	100%**
Meeting adds value for company	100%	100%	100%	100%

*Original meeting schedule included three days; however, meeting had to be shortened due to budget constraints. **One negative response received stating that recommendation was not necessary because others attended meeting with attendee. Actual survey results was 92.3%.